

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/562,726	<b>Applicant(s)</b> COUILLARD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ernest G. Therkorn	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) Alan E. Schiavelli.

**Date of Interview:** 1 May 2008

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*None*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner proposed cancelling the nonelected invention and enhancing the clarity of claim 7 to place the case in condition for allowance. Alan E. Schiavelli then authorized the changes by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)